

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on April 12, 2004, in which claims 1, 4, 6-10 and 12 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4-5, 7, 8, 12-14 and 19-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,644,120, and claims 2-3, 5, 11 and 13-14 were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. In addition, the abstract was objected to as being directed to the claims of a previously filed application.

With this Amendment, a new abstract is provided. In addition, a Terminal Disclaimer is submitted, disclaiming the term of any patent granted on the instant application beyond the term of U.S. Patent No. 6,644,120. Thus, all objections and rejections are overcome by this Amendment, and allowance of all pending claims 1-14 is appropriate and is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.

Date: 9/10/04

By: *Alan Koenck*
Alan M. Koenck, Reg. No. 43,724
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING
312 South Third Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1002
Telephone: (612) 339-1863
Fax: (612) 339-6580

AMK